

# Chapter 11. Colorado River Hydrologic Region

## Setting

The Colorado River Hydrologic Region is located in the southeast portion of California. The Colorado River forms most of the region's eastern boundary and Mexico forms its southern boundary. (Figure 11-1 is a map and table of statistics that describe the region). The region includes all of Imperial County, approximately the eastern one-fourth of San Diego County, the eastern two-thirds of Riverside County, and about the southeast one-third of San Bernardino County. The Colorado River Region contains 12 percent of the State's area. It has many bowl-shaped valleys, broad alluvial fans, sandy washes, and hills and mountains.

**Deleted:** The Colorado River forms most of the region's eastern boundary and Mexico forms its southern boundary.

Owing to hydrologically-determined boundaries, the Colorado River region includes a portion of the Mojave Desert, primarily that part of the region within San Bernardino County and eastern Riverside County. The area to the east and south of the Mojave Desert area is a portion of the Sonoran Desert. Elevations in the region mostly range from 1,000 to 3,000 feet in the Mojave Desert to less than 1,000 feet in the Colorado River, and to more than 200 feet below sea level in the Coachella and Imperial, and valleys. Mountain peaks attain elevations from 6,000 to 7,000 feet. Many of the valleys contain playas, some quite large, including Bristol Dry Lake, which covers more than 50 square miles.

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** Colorado River V

**Deleted:** elevations from

## Climate

Nearly all of the Colorado River Region has a subtropical desert climate with hot summers and mostly mild winters, and the average annual rainfall is quite small. Average annual precipitation ranges from three to six inches, most of which occurs in the winter. However, summer storms do occur and can be significant in some years. Clear and sunny conditions typically prevail. The region receives from 85 to 90 percent of possible sunshine each year, the highest value in the United States. Winter maximum temperatures are mild, but summer temperatures are very hot. with more than 100 days over 100 degrees Fahrenheit each year in the Imperial Valley.

## Population

In 2000, the estimated population for the Region was about 606,000, which represented an increase of 31 percent from the 1990 population. More than half of the region's population resides in the Coachella Valley with most of the remaining located in Imperial Valley and in the corridor between the cities of Yucca Valley and Twenty-nine Palms along Highway 62.

## Land Use

The region is a land of unequalled agricultural bounty with a growing urban sector, and large expanses of open, wild terrain. The U. S. Bureau of Land Management administers much of the Region, but many other entities have responsibilities.

Famous parks in the region include Joshua Tree National Park, the Mojave National Scenic Preserve, Anza-Borrego Desert State Park, and the Salton Sea and the Picacho State Recreation Areas. There are also several national recreation and wilderness areas, various preserves and wildlife refuges, and Indian reservations in the Region coming under some kind of preservation or managed status. [Unclear – are all of these under preservation status, or just the Indian reservations?]

**Formatted:** Highlight

Despite the arid conditions, significant areas of agricultural and urban land uses exist in the region. The most prominent of these uses belongs to agriculture. More than \$1.5 billion of agricultural commodities are produced in the region annually. Over 600,000 acres of land are farmed each year. The largest area of farming occurs in the Imperial Valley where over 450,000 acres of land are farmed annually. More than 93,000 acres are farmed in the Palo Verde Valley, followed by 60,000 in the Coachella Valley. Smaller, but equally important agricultural operations are occurring in the Bard and Mohave Valleys.

A wide variety of crops are planted and harvested in the region; some of which are seasonally controlled. In terms of acres, alfalfa is the leading crop produced in the region. Almost 250,000 acres was were cultivated in 2000, with 180,000 acres occurring in the Imperial Valley. Although constrained by climate, winter and spring vegetables, which include carrots, broccoli, lettuce, onions, and melons, rank second in overall acres. Of the 150,000 acres harvested, almost 100,000 acres of the vegetables harvested in 2000 came from the Imperial Valley.

The Coachella and Bard Valleys are noteworthy for citrus and subtropical fruit production; especially dates. Also, the table grape industry in the Coachella Valley deserves recognition.

Other important crops grown in the region include wheat, sugar beets, and sudan grass. Although lower from its peak in the early 1980s, cotton is still grown in the region, mostly in the Palo Verde Valley.

It should be noted that multiple-cropping is prevalent in the Imperial, Palo Verde, Coachella, and Bard Valleys. In 2000, it was estimated that over 100,000 acres were double-cropped in the region.

The cattle industry in Imperial Valley is extremely important. In the to the valley's \$1 billion per year agricultural production. In 2001, the cattle industry, with a value of \$243 million, ranked as the third highest-valued commodity produced in the Valley. First were vegetable and melon crops worth \$403 million, while field crops were worth \$285 million. [Note: this is the same ranking as for 2000].

Contrasting urban land uses co-exist in the region. In the Imperial and Palo Verde Valleys and the southern one-half of the Coachella Valley, small to moderately sized cities and communities exist which provide support for the surrounding agricultural activities. There are also numerous single-family residential dwellings scattered throughout the region. Many of the business and industrial sectors in the Cities of Blythe, Brawley and Indio provide this kind of support.

### Salton Sea

The present day Salton Sea was formed in 1905, when Colorado River water flowed through a break in a canal that had been constructed along the U.S./Mexican border to divert the river's flow to agricultural lands in the Imperial Valley. Until that break was repaired in 1907, the full flow of the river was diverted into the Salton Sink, a structural trough whose lowest point is about 278 feet below sea level. Since the 1920s, the Sea's elevation has gradually increased, from a low on the order of 250 feet below sea level its 2003 average of 227 feet below sea level.

Historically, the Colorado River's course has altered several times. At times, the river discharged to the Gulf of California as it does today. At other times it flowed into the Salton Sink. Lake Cahuilla, the most recent of several prehistoric lakes to have occupied the Salton Sink, dried up some 300 years ago. In the past 2000 years, archaeological records indicate that the Colorado River actually headed northwest into the Salton Sink or Trough more often than it headed south into the Gulf of California.

Deleted: Over time

Deleted: is

Deleted: Over the long term, the Sea's elevation has gradually increased, going from a low on the order of 250 feet below sea level in the 1920s to its present level of about 226 feet below sea level.

Deleted:

Deleted: occurring in

Deleted: s

Deleted: lettuce

Deleted: I

Deleted: s

Deleted: .

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: 2

In the northern Coachella Valley, the urban area, bordered by the Cities of Palm Springs and Indio, continues to expand. Other cities in this area include Palm Desert, Rancho Mirage, and La Quinta. This corridor is characterized by the presence of numerous lavishly landscaped residential developments (some gated with security personnel), expansion of local business and consumer service centers, construction of luxury hotels and resort properties, and the operation of over 100 private and public golf courses. The expansion has been underway for several decades and appears to be continuing. The expansion supports the region's recreation and tourism industry and the growing number of wealthy retirees and part-time residents.

Deleted: etween

Deleted: does not appear to be  
subsiding.

Deleted:

Although smaller in scale, the region's urban area, in the form of business and consumer services, has been expanding in the corridor between the Cities of El Centro and Imperial and within the City of Calexico. This has been undertaken to support the consumers in the Imperial Valley and from the neighboring Mexicali Valley, with a second port of entry opened in 2001 to support increased traffic resulting from NAFTA.

## Water Supply and Use

About 90 percent of the region's water supply is from surface deliveries from the Colorado River. Water from the river is delivered into the region through the All-American and Coachella Canals, local diversions, and the Colorado River Aqueduct by means of an exchange for State Water Project (SWP) water. The Colorado River is an interstate and international river whose use is apportioned among the seven Colorado River Basin states and Mexico by a complex body of statutes, decrees, and court decisions known collectively as the "Law of the River" (Table 11-2). Local surface water, groundwater, and the SWP (Table 11-4) provide the remainder of water to the region. Many of the alluvial valleys in the region are underlain by groundwater aquifers that are the sole source of water for local communities. Many of the alluvial valleys have poor quality water that is not suitable for potable use.

Deleted: (

Deleted: )

Deleted: (

Deleted: )

Deleted:

**Table 11-2**  
**Key Elements of the Law of the River**

Document	Date	Main Purpose
Colorado River Compact	1922	The Upper Colorado River Basin and the Lower Colorado River Basin are each provided a basic apportionment of 7.5 maf annually of consumptive use. The Lower Basin is given the right to increase its consumptive use an additional 1 maf annually.
Boulder Canyon Project Act	1928	Authorized USBR to construct Boulder (Hoover) Dam and the All-American Canal (including the Coachella Canal), and gave congressional consent to the Colorado River Compact. <u>Provided</u> that all users of Colorado River water must enter into a contract with USBR for use of the water.
California Limitation Act	1929	Limited California's share of the 7.5 maf annually apportioned to

Deleted: Also

Deleted: p

		the Lower Basin to 4.4 maf annually, plus no more than half of any surplus waters.
Seven Party Agreement	1931	An agreement among seven California water agencies/districts to recommend to the Secretary of Interior how to divide use of California's apportionment among the California water users.
U.S. - Mexican Treaty	1944	Apportions Mexico a supply of 1.5 maf annually of Colorado River water except under surplus or extraordinary drought conditions
U.S. Supreme Court Decree in <i>Arizona v. California, et al.</i>	1964	Apportions water from the mainstream of the Colorado River among the Lower Division states. When the Secretary determines that 7.5 maf of mainstream water is available, it is apportioned 2.8 maf to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.3 maf to Nevada. <u>Quantifies</u> tribal water rights for specified tribes, including 131,400 af for diversion in California.
Colorado River Basin Project Act	1968	Authorized construction of the Central Arizona Project. <u>Requires</u> Secretary of the Interior to prepare long-range operating criteria for major Colorado River reservoirs.
U.S. Supreme Court Decree in <i>Arizona v. California, et al.</i>	1979	Quantifies Colorado River mainstream present perfected rights in the Lower Basin states.
<u>Colorado River Water Delivery Agreement: Federal Quantification Settlement Agreement.</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Quantifies Colorado River Priority 3 apportionment among Imperial Irrigation District (IID), Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD), The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWDSC) to meet California's 4.4 maf basic apportionment.</u>

Deleted: Also q

Deleted: and r

Deleted: 2

Within California, the Seven Party Agreement (Table 11.3) established local agencies' apportionments of Colorado River water, with Priorities 1-3 further defined in the Quantification Settlement Agreement of 2003 (Table 11-x). The Secretary of the Interior apportions water to California water users according to the Seven Party and the Quantification Settlement Agreements. Water use that occurs within a state is charged to that state's allocation. Thus, federal water uses including uses associated with federal reserved rights (e.g., tribal water rights) must be accommodated within California's basic apportionment of 4.4 maf/yr plus one-half of any available surplus water.

Deleted: .

Deleted: Agreement

Deleted: or

Deleted: also

**Table 11-3**  
**Annual Apportionment of Use of Colorado River Water**  
**(amounts represent consumptive use)**

Interstate/International	
Upper Basin States (Wyoming, Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, small portion of Arizona)	7.5 maf
Lower Basin States (Arizona, Nevada, California)	7.5 maf
Arizona	2.8 maf
Nevada	0.3 maf
California	4.4 maf
Republic of Mexico <sup>a</sup>	1.5 maf
a. Plus 200 taf of surplus water, when available. Water delivered to Mexico must meet specified salinity requirements. During an extraordinary drought, Mexico shares <u>portionally</u> with uses in the United States. <u>proportionally or proportionately</u>	
Intrastate (Seven Party Agreement) <sup>b</sup>	
Priority 1	Palo Verde Irrigation District (based on area of 104,500 acres).
Priority 2	Lands in California within USBR's Yuma Project (not to exceed 25,000 acres).
Priority 3	Imperial Irrigation District and lands served from the All American Canal in Imperial and Coachella Valleys, and Palo Verde Irrigation District for use on 16,000 acres in the Lower Palo Verde Mesa.
Priorities 1 through 3 collectively are not to exceed 3.85 maf/yr. <u>There was no specified division of that amount among the three priorities. Particulars of this division are specified in the 2003 Quantification Settlement Agreement (Table 11-x).</u>	
Priority 4	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWDSC) for coastal plain of Southern California--550,000 af/yr.
Priority 5	An additional 550,000 af/yr to MWDSC, and 112,000 af/yr for the City and County of San Diego <sup>c</sup> .
Priority 6	Imperial Irrigation District and lands served from the All-American Canal in Imperial and Coachella Valleys, and Palo Verde Irrigation District for use on 16,000 acres in the Lower Palo Verde Mesa, for a total not to exceed 300,000 af/yr.
Total of Priorities 1 through 6 is 5.362 maf/yr.	
Priority 7	All remaining water available for use in California, for agricultural use in California's Colorado River Basin.
b. Indian tribes and miscellaneous present perfected right holders that are not identified in California's Seven Party Agreement have the right to divert up to approximately 85 taf /yr (equating to about 50 taf/yr of consumptive use) within California's 4.4 maf basic apportionment. These users are presently consumptively using approximately 32 taf/yr (assuming about 25 taf/yr of unmeasured return flow).	
c. Subsequent to execution of the Seven Party Agreement, San Diego executed a separate agreement transferring its apportionment to MWDSC.	

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: i

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: . (Although t

Deleted: division was

Deleted: further defined

- Priorities 5, 6 & 7 – somewhat alter, in surplus years 1<sup>st</sup> to MWD... - Check with MWD for these details.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

- Update for QSA - add a table as follows to give particulars of QSA

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

**Table 11-x Quantification Settlement Agreement for Priorities 1- 3****Use of Colorado River Water by California Agencies  
(taf, amounts represent consumptive use)**

	Priority 3 Quantification	Approved Net Consumptive Use in 2003	Approved Net Consumptive Use by 2030
Priority 1, 2, and 3b – Based on historical average use; deliveries above this amount in a given year will be deducted from MWD's diversion (order) for the next year; as agreed by MWD, IID, CVWD, and Secretary of the Interior (PVID & Yuma Project are not signatories)	420	420.0	420.0
Imperial Irrigation District	3,100	2972.2	2607.8
Coachella Valley Water District	330	347.0	424.0
<b>Total Priority 1-3 Use</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>3745.0</b>	<b>3466.3</b>
Remainder of 3.85 for use by MWDSC (& SDCWA) through priority rights and transfer agreements			

Deleted: —Page Break—

**Table 11-4  
SWP Contractors in the Colorado River Region**

Agency	Maximum Annual Amount (taf)	SWP Deliveries in 2000 (taf)
Coachella Valley Water District	23.1	42.3
Desert Water Agency	38.1	58.2
Mojave Water Agency (a)	75.8	11.2
San Geronio Pass Water Agency	17.3	0
a Maximum Annual Amounts include amounts for both the South Lahontan and Colorado River Regions; 7.3 taf of this amount is allocated to Colorado River Region.		

Neither Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD) nor Desert Water Agency (DWA) has facilities to take direct delivery of SWP water. Instead, both agencies have entered into exchange agreements with Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWDSC), whereby MWDSC releases water from its Colorado River Aqueduct into the Whitewater River for storage in the upper Coachella Valley groundwater basin. In exchange, MWDSC takes delivery of an equal amount of the agencies' SWP water. San Geronio Pass Water Agency (SGPWA), which serves the Banning-Beaumont area, also lacks the facilities to take delivery of SWP water into the portion of its service area which is within the Colorado River Region. However, SGPWA is currently delivering SWP water into the Santa Ana Planning Area of the South Coast Hydrologic Region. When Phase 2 of the East Branch Extension is completed, water will be delivered into the Colorado River Hydrologic Region; however, the Department [Unclear -- Is this DWR??] is still planning for that Phase.

Formatted: Highlight

Groundwater provides about 7.5 percent of the region's water supply in normal years and about 7.7 percent in drought years (DWR 1998). Groundwater storage capacity is reported for 40 of the region's 57 groundwater basins, and is estimated to be more than 175 maf. The agricultural area of the Imperial Valley, the largest water-using area in the region for the most part is located on top of a saline basin. Therefore, it lacks significant supplies of usable groundwater.

Deleted: ,

Deleted: does not have

Deleted: 2

In the Coachella Valley, groundwater levels have ~~began declining in the late 1920s, (1928).~~ **Since 1948,** imported water supplies from the Colorado River, through the Coachella Canal, enabled decreased pumping of groundwater in the southeastern portion of the Valley. In response, groundwater levels in that part of the Valley rose. However, in the 1980s, these levels began to decline again because of urban development and increased groundwater pumping. **[Highlighted information from CVWD]**

Deleted: been

Deleted: since

Deleted: 1945

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: I

Deleted: and helped recharge the basin

Deleted: is

Formatted: Highlight

Local **Coachella Valley** water districts have been implementing programs to address the decline in groundwater levels. The move by CVWD and DWA to bring in SWP supplies was an important first step. In 1984, an agreement was reached ~~by~~ **among** CVWD, DWA, and MWDSC that allowed for the advanced deliveries of Colorado River water supplies to the Coachella Valley during periods of high flows on the River. These supplies helped accelerate the pace of replenishment of the basin and provided water supplies for future uses, ~~although groundwater tables continue to decline in much of the basin.~~

Under the **1984** agreement, MWDSC was permitted to bank ~~up to~~ approximately 600,000 acre-feet of supplies in the basin. When the need arises for these supplies; MWDSC will take its Colorado River water along with CVWD's SWP allocations until the quantity of banked water supplies is exhausted.

Deleted: also

In 2000, the estimated applied water demands for urban, agriculture, and the environment for the Colorado River Region were 4,775 taf. Most of the demands are for agriculture, approximately 85 percent. In 2000, the estimated applied water demand for agriculture was 4,071 taf. **Beginning in October 2003, demands will be restricted as agreed in the QSA... (check the website for these details)...**

Formatted: Highlight

Almost all of the agricultural demands in the Region occur in the three major agricultural areas described earlier, the Imperial, Palo Verde, and Coachella Valleys. The Imperial Valley, with over **450,000** acres of crops harvested each year, accounts for almost 70 percent of the total applied water demands for the region. In 2000, the applied demands for agriculture in the Imperial Valley were **2,911 taf – see comments on separate sheet.**

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: 0

Deleted: 2,911 taf

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

**In the Imperial and Palo Verde valleys, all** agricultural demands are met with supplies from the Colorado River. In the Coachella Valley, **agricultural** demands are met through a combination of Colorado River and groundwater supplies.

Deleted: Most of the

Deleted: water

Deleted: , agricultural

Urban applied water demands account for about 15 percent of the overall totals for the Colorado River Region. In 2000, urban demands were estimated to be 673 taf. Most of these demands occur in the **Coachella Valley**; 527 taf in 2000 or almost 80 percent of the total applied water for the region. Housing and commercial uses have been augmented by large housing tracts with lavish landscaping, hotels, shopping centers, country clubs, golf courses, and polo fields. Landscape irrigation demands in the Coachella Valley are large because of the large expanse of turf grass and landscaping that have occurred in the last two decades **(since 1980?).**

Deleted: Coachella Valley

Deleted: ;

Deleted: Existing

Deleted: h

Formatted: Highlight

Despite the availability of reliable and inexpensive water supplies, water districts and users are cognizant of the importance of implementing water conservation programs to effectively use and manage these supplies. For the past 50 years, the Imperial Irrigation District (IID), the region's largest water district, has implemented programs and completed projects designed to improve the efficiency of its water



conveyance system. Under the IID/MWD Water Conservation Agreement, and Approval Agreement (December 1989), 15 new projects were completed including the construction of 3 lateral interceptors serving over 83,400 acres, the construction of two regulatory reservoirs and four interceptor reservoirs, concrete-lining of nearly 200 miles of lateral canals, and installation of new hardware and software to upgrade the existing telemetry equipment on its conveyance system (with a state-of-the-art Water Control Center). These infrastructure upgrades complemented existing IID programs including farmer-initiated measures, canal lining, seepage recovery, regulatory reservoirs. IID also implements the 13- and 21-Point Water Conservation Programs, irrigation scheduling and training services, and canal seepage recovery programs.

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 4

Deleted: i

Deleted: such as

In addition to the improvements to its water conveyance system, the IID provides technical assistance to its agricultural customers through its Irrigation Management Services program. Its most valued service has been the dissemination of information to farmers and irrigation personnel on methods to improve their irrigation operations. The program is actively promotes the use of the following methodologies and instruments to improve irrigation efficiencies: level basin drip systems, level basin laser-leveling, irrigation scheduling, utilization of portable pump-back and tailwater return systems, salinity assessment, soil moisture sensors, and providing growers with metering of their delivery and tailwater flow records for particular irrigations.

Deleted:

Deleted: However, t

Deleted: involved in

Deleted: and deployment

Deleted: meters

Excluding the water supply savings in the IID\MWD agreement, improvements to the water distribution and other water conservation techniques save over 525,000 acre-feet of supplies annually. Of this amount, the IID estimates that close to 400,000 acre-feet of the savings are attributable to the efforts by its agricultural customers.

Deleted: 600

The CVWD has also made important improvements to its water conveyance system. Water supplies are delivered to its agricultural customers through underground pipelines and are metered. The conveyance system is computerized which adds to the operational efficiency of the system. In addition to the infrastructure improvements, CVWD provides technical services to its agricultural and residential customers on efficient irrigation management practices.

The districts have also examined their water operation policies and procedures. This review has resulted in modifications in the delivery procedures that have improved efficiencies and assisted local farmers in their attempts to implement irrigation scheduling activities.

For the PVID, telemetry controls have been installed for over 132 key control structures which has improved the management of water supplies in its canal system. Most of the fields in the Valley have been laser-leveled. With the fields being flat, with no slope, tailwater flows from the fields are eliminated. All deliveries to the PVID's retail agricultural customers are measured.

PVID, in conjunction with the University of California Cooperative Extension and DWR, has installed three CIMIS stations to collect the necessary climatological data to help its agricultural water users in estimating crop ETAW and develop irrigation schedules. Water users are made aware of improvements in irrigation management and crop growing procedures through a local Progressive Farmers group.

IID also has CIMIS stations under the same type of agreement & delivery. I believe CVWD has these as well.

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: 2



To assist the CVWD, the PVID entered into an emergency six month following program in 2003. Over 16,417 acres of farmland was idled and the unused water supplies, 41 taf, was transferred to CVWD.

The IID, PVID, and CVWD are signatories to the Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Efficient Water Management Practices by Agricultural Water Suppliers in California. By signing the MOU, the water districts reaffirmed and demonstrated their intentions to adopt and implement agricultural water management plans that would serve and benefit the agricultural water management activities and have beneficial environmental impacts, within their service areas. IID's 2002 Agricultural Water Management Plan has been endorsed by the Agricultural Water Management Council that oversees this MOU.

Deleted: are signatories

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: Italic

As mentioned earlier, growers in the major agricultural areas are utilizing the latest irrigation hardware and management techniques to increase both the efficiencies of their operations and crop yields. In the Imperial Valley, it is common to see drip, micro-sprinklers, and drip tape systems being utilized along with the traditional systems of furrow, basin, and hand-move sprinklers. Drip tape is most commonly used for high-market value crops such as vegetables. Drip and micro-sprinkler systems are commonly used to irrigate the citrus and subtropical fruit orchards; less than 1 percent of the acres (mainly date palms) are flood irrigated.

Deleted: , growers

Deleted: areas are

Deleted: , it

Deleted: not un

Deleted: The

Deleted: d

Most irrigation operations with vegetables and truck crops in Coachella Valley utilize drip tape and hand move sprinklers. Some furrow irrigation is still being used. Citrus and subtropical fruit orchard irrigations are handled mainly with drip and micro-sprinklers; although flood or basin irrigation is still used for mature date palms. Almost all the vineyards are being irrigated by some type of drip system; only a very small portion still rely on furrow irrigation. The use of overhead sprinkler systems is still a common sight in vineyards throughout the valley. They are used for frost protection and the inducement of vine dormancy for earlier fruit-sets.

Deleted: d

Deleted: being

Although most of the water conservation activities have been directed to agriculture, water districts in the Coachella Valley provide technical assistance to the managers of the large landscaped areas, such as golf courses, to evaluate and offer suggestions for improvement for the irrigation hardware and operations at their facilities. The Coachella Valley Water District provides loans to its retail customers for irrigation system upgrades. Desert Water Agency offers classes, in English and Spanish, to homeowners, property management personnel, and government and school personnel on irrigation efficiency strategies and tools.

Deleted:

Deleted: are

Deleted: ing

The largest water body in the region is the Salton Sea, a saline lake situated some 225 feet below sea level. The Salton Sea has a concentration of total dissolved solids of approximately 45,000 mg/L, which is 25 percent greater than that of ocean water. Most of the environmental water demands in the region are for the Sonny Bono Salton Sea National Wildlife Refuge, DFG Imperial Wildlife Area, and wetland areas on the shore of the Salton Sea. The Salton Sea ecosystem is considered a critical link on the international Pacific Flyway, providing wintering habitat for migratory birds, including some species whose diets are based exclusively on fish. ADD statement re mitigation water until 2017 for the IID/SDCWA transfer approved under the OSA.

Deleted: with

Deleted: or

Formatted: Highlight

The following water balance table (Table 11-1) summarizes the detailed regional water accounting contained in the water portfolio at the end of this regional description. As shown in the table, imports

make up a substantial portion of the water supply in the region. See **Table 11-1** Colorado River Hydrological Region Water Balance Summary.

Deleted: 1 Colorado

Deleted: 2

## State of the region

### Challenges

Threatened or endangered fish species on the mainstem of the Colorado River include the Colorado pikeminnow, razorback sucker, humpback chub, and bonytail chub. Restoration actions to protect these fish may affect reservoir operation and streamflow in the mainstem and tributaries. Other species of concern in the basin include the bald eagle, Yuma clapper rail, black rail, southwestern willow flycatcher, yellow warbler, vermilion flycatcher, yellow-billed cuckoo, and Kanab ambersnail.

In 1993, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) published a draft recovery implementation plan for endangered fish in the upper Colorado River Basin. The draft plan included protecting instream flows, restoring habitat, reducing impacts of introduced fish and sportfish management, conserving genetic integrity, monitoring habitat and populations, and increasing public awareness of the role and importance of native fish.

Problems facing native fish in the mainstem Colorado River and its tributaries will not be easily resolved. For example, two fish species in most danger of extinction, the bonytail chub and razorback sucker, are not expected to survive in the wild. In recent years most stream and reservoir fisheries in the basin have been managed for non-native fish. These management practices have harmed residual populations of natives. Many native fish are readily propagated in hatcheries, and thus recovery programs include captive broodstock programs to maintain the species. Reestablishing wild populations from hatchery stocks will have to be managed in concert with programs to manage river habitat. For example, although 15 million juvenile razorback suckers were planted in Arizona streams from 1981-90, the majority of these planted fish were likely eaten by introduced predators. In 1994, the states of Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah reached an agreement with USFWS on protocols for stocking non-native fish in the Upper Basin. Stocking protocols are consistent with native fish recovery efforts. In a program, which began in 1989, USBR and other state and federal agencies have cooperated to capture, rear, and successfully reintroduce about 15,000 razorback sucker larvae in Lake Mohave.

#### Salton Sea Ecosystem

The Salton Sea, a saline lake with total dissolved solids of approximately 45,000 mg/L -- 25 percent greater than that of ocean water -- is California's largest (surface area) lake and has been famous for its sport fishing and other recreational uses. It is also a federally and state designated repository to receive and store agricultural, surface, and subsurface drainage waters from the Imperial and Coachella Valleys. Water imported from the Colorado River has created an irrigated agricultural ecosystem in the watershed. Consequently, wildlife and aquatic species, which are dependent upon habitat created by the discharge of agricultural return flows, are threatened by the salinity of the Sea, which is increasing at a rate of approximately one percent per year. The Sea's importance to wildlife has grown as approximately 95 percent of California's wetlands have disappeared because of changes in land use.

The Salton Sea ecosystem, including the Sonny Bono Salton Sea National Wildlife Refuge, is considered a critical link on the International Pacific Flyway for migratory birds. The amount of freshwater inflow that will be available to the Sea is considered uncertain due to water transfers within the United States and water conservation both in the United States and in Mexico.

Mention that Sea is below sea level at about minus 225 feet.

Deleted: a

Instream flows in the mainstem and key tributaries are being evaluated as components of native fish recovery efforts. State and federal agencies are conducting studies to estimate base flow and flushing flow needs for listed and sensitive species in various river reaches.

In the Lower Colorado River Basin, representatives of the three states, federal agencies, several Native American Tribes, and Colorado River water and power users are in the final stages of development of the Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program (LCR MSCP). The LCR MSCP is intended to provide long-term compliance with the federal and California Endangered Species Acts.

The LCR MSCP is a 50-year program that would provide over 8,100 acres of high quality aquatic, wetland, and native broadleaf riparian habitat along the Lower Colorado River from Lake Mead to the Southerly International Boundary with Mexico. The restored and maintained habitats would provide ecological benefits and mitigate potential impacts to 26 covered species being addressed within the LCR MSCP. Some of the proposed habitat restoration may involve the conversion of existing agricultural lands to native riparian habitats, as well as removal of non-native salt cedar (tamarisk) and replacement with native broadleaf riparian habitat (e.g., cottonwood, willow, and mesquite, etc.).

Additionally, the LCR MSCP participants plan to rear and repatriate to the mainstream over 660,000 razorback suckers and 620,000 bonytail during the 50-year implementation period of the LCR MSCP. Over 360 acres of backwater habitats would be created along the Lower Colorado River to provide nursery habitat for juvenile native fish and additional wetland habitat for marsh species and migratory waterfowl.

California's Colorado River water and power using agencies and entities are participants in the LCR MSCP planning process. The LCR MSCP is expected to begin implementation in early 2005. The Bureau of Reclamation, in conjunction with representatives of the three states and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, will be the agency primarily responsible for implementing the LCR MSCP during the 50-year period.

The Salton Sea, with its increasing salinity, selenium, and eutrophication, is the primary focus of international water quality issues in the Colorado River region. The largest sources of the Sea's inflow are the New and Alamo Rivers and the Imperial Valley Agriculture Drains, which contribute pesticides, nutrients, selenium, and silt. These contamination problems in particular present threats to migrating birds on the Pacific Flyway. The most polluted river in the U.S., the New River actually originates in Mexicali (Mexico), flows across the International Boundary, through the city of Calexico, and then northward, emptying into the Salton Sea. It conveys urban runoff, untreated and partially treated municipal and industrial wastes, and agricultural runoff from the Mexicali and Imperial Valleys. These pollution sources contribute pesticides, pathogens, silt, nutrients, trash, and VOCs (primarily from Mexican industry) to the Sea. The Alamo River, which originates just two miles south of the border and also flows northward to the Salton Sea, consists mainly of agricultural return flows from the Imperial Valley. Pathogens are also problematic in the Palo Verde Outfall Drain, which drains back into the river, and the Coachella Valley Stormwater Channel, which drains to the Sea. At some times of the year, nutrient loading to the Sea supports large algal blooms that contribute to odors, as well as low dissolved oxygen levels that adversely affect fisheries. Selenium is a more recent constituent of interest, potentially affecting fish and wildlife. Water conservation measures to facilitate water transfers to the South Coast could dramatically increase the levels of selenium, which is primarily from the Colorado River and subsurface (tile) drainage discharges to the Sea.

Deleted: 2

The relatively saline Colorado River (which ranges from 760 to 950 ppm in the IID service area) provides irrigation and domestic water to much of southern California. Of recent human health concern are the presence of low levels of perchlorate in the Colorado River (from the Las Vegas Wash), and hexavalent chromium at very high levels in wells at Needles near the River. The Colorado's perchlorate contamination originates at a site in the Las Vegas Wash and is the nation's largest. Septic systems at recreational areas along the Colorado are also a concern for domestic and recreational water uses. Other important water quality issues in this region include increasing levels of salinity, nitrates and other substances in groundwater associated with animal feeding and dairy operations and septic tank systems, especially in the Desert Hot Springs area and in the Cathedral City Cove area. In the Coachella Valley, nitrates have restricted the use of several domestic water supply wells.

To address the issue of declining groundwater levels, CVWD and DWA have prepared a groundwater management plan for the lower valley. They have considered alternatives that include basin adjudication, water conservation, water recycling and direct or in lieu recharge with water imported from the Colorado River or from the SWP. The plan was completed in 2002.

Deleted: DWA have

Deleted: recycling,

Deleted: 2002 .

As a result of the 1964 U.S. Supreme Court decree in *Arizona v. California*, California's basic apportionment of Colorado River water was quantified and five lower Colorado River Indian Tribes were awarded 905 taf of annual diversions, 131 taf of which were allocated for diversion in and chargeable to California pursuant to a later supplemental decree.

In 1978, the tribes asked the Court to grant them additional water rights, alleging that the U.S. failed to claim a sufficient amount of irrigable acreage, called omitted lands, in the earlier litigation. The tribes also raised claims called boundary land claims for more water based on allegedly larger reservation boundaries than had been assumed by the court in its initial award. In 1982, the Special Master appointed by the Supreme Court to hear these claims recommended that additional water rights be granted to the Indian tribes. In 1983, however, the U. S. Supreme Court rejected the claims for omitted lands from further consideration and ruled that the claims for boundary lands could not be resolved until disputed boundaries were finally determined.

Three of the five tribes – the Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Indian Tribe, and the Colorado River Indian Tribe – are pursuing additional water rights related to the boundary lands claims. A settlement has been reached on the claim of the Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, and a settlement may soon be reached on the claim of the Colorado River Indian Tribe. Both settlements would then be presented to the Special Master. The claim of the Fort Yuma-Quechan Indian Tribe has been rejected by the Special Master on the grounds that any such claim was necessarily disposed of as part of a Court of Claims settlement entered into by the tribe in a related matter in the mid 1980s. As with all claims to water from the mainstem of the Colorado River and any determination by the Special Master, only the U.S. Supreme Court itself can make the final ruling.

If both the Fort Mojave and the Colorado River Indian Tribe settlements were approved, the tribes would receive water rights in addition to the amounts granted them in the 1964 decree.

Must add statement regarding challenges of living within QSA – see specific comments section. Also CVWD will be living with around 424 taf per year, not the 500 that it anticipated needed in the face of rising population levels.

**Accomplishments**

There have been several large-scale water conservation actions involving Colorado River water users, as shown in Table 11-5.

**Table 11-5**  
**Colorado River Region Water Conservation Actions Since 1980**

Year	Action	Participants	Comments/Status	Estimated Savings
1980	Line 49 miles of Coachella Branch of All American Canal	USBR, CVWD, MWDSC	Project completed.	132 taf/yr
1988	IID distribution system improvements and on-farm water management actions	IID, MWDSC	Multi-year <u>agreement</u> extends into 2033. Projects MWDSC has funded include <u>200 miles of</u> canal lining, regulatory reservoir and spill interceptor canal construction, tailwater return systems, non-leak gates, 12-hour delivery of water, drip irrigation systems, <u>and system automation</u> . MWDSC has funded over \$150 million for conservation program costs through 1997. <u>Under QSA extends to 2077</u>	107 taf/yr in 1998 <u>Agreement continues through 2047( and through 2077, if extended)</u>
1992	Groundwater banking in Arizona	MWDSC, CAWCD, SNWA	Test program to bank up to 300 taf.	MWDSC and SNWA have stored 139 taf in Arizona groundwater basins.
1992	PVID land fallowing	PVID, MWDSC	Project completed. Two-year land fallowing test program. Covered 20,215 acres in PVID. MWDSC paid \$25 million to farmers over a two-year period.	Total of 186 taf was made available from the program, although the water was subsequently released from Lake Mead when flood control releases were made from the reservoir.
1995	Partnership agreement	USBR, CVWD	Provides, among other things, for studies to optimize reasonable beneficial use of water in the district.	N/A
1998	Water transfer agreement; <u>only land fallowing through 2017; then conservation</u>	IID, SDCWA	Initial terms of 45 years and renewal terms of 30 years.	<u>In 2003, 10 taf/yr, with 5 taf to Salton Sea; increases to 100 taf to SDCWA &amp; 50 to Salton Sea in 2017</u> <u>By 2022, increases to 200 taf/yr, remains at that level through 2047 (and through 2077, if extended)</u>

Deleted: Existing

Deleted: agreement,

Deleted: linear-move irrigation systems

Deleted: in 2003

Deleted:

Deleted: in 2022 and thereafter



2003	Land lease agreement	PVID, CVWD	PVID conserved and transferred water supplies to CVWD.	40.6 taf in 2003.
2004	Land fallowing	PVID, MWDSC	35-year land fallowing program.	Proposal for PVID to make up to 111 taf/yr of water supplies available to MWDSC.

### Relationship with other Regions

Although the facilities to deliver SWP water supplies to the region have yet to be constructed, CVWD and DWA receive their annual allocations of SWP water through an exchange agreement with the South Coast Region's largest water wholesale agency, MWDSC. These districts are also participants in another agreement that delivers and stores water supplies from the Colorado River in the Coachella Valley's largest groundwater basin during periods of high flows.

Water districts in both regions are also cooperating in water conservation and land fallowing programs. The 1988 IID/MWDSC Water Conservation Agreement resulted in the conservation of water supplies from the construction of new facilities, water system automation, and the implementation of technical assistance programs for farmers within the IID water service area. The conserved water is delivered to MWDSC.

ADD Paragraph about QSA – impact n IID/SDCWA transfer. Outcome, negotiations. Etc/

MWDSC and PVID are negotiating the terms for a 35-year land fallowing, crop rotation, and water supply agreement. A certain percentage of lands normally farmed in the Palo Verde Valley would be fallowed each year. Water supplies for these lands would be delivered to MWDSC. Some of these supplies would be used to facilitate the transfer agreement between SDCWA and the IID.

### Looking to the Future

On October 10, 2003, MWD, IID, CVWD and the Secretary of the Interior signed the Colorado River Water Delivery Agreement: Federal Quantification Settlement Agreement for the purpose of Section 5(B) of Interim Surplus Guidelines. This agreement specifies how, over time, California will reduce its use of Colorado River water to its basic apportionment of 4.4 maf/yr in years for which the Secretary of the Interior does not declared a surplus on the Colorado River.

As formulated under the Quantification Settlement Agreement, the Water Use Plan would be implemented in two phases. The first phase (between 2003 and 2017) requires IID to implement includes fallowing plus a gradual introduction, starting in 2008 improved system and reservoir management, such as the interim surplus guidelines and canal lining, to reduce California's Colorado River water use to about 4.6 to 4.7 maf/yr. The second phase would implement additional measures to reduce California's use to its basic annual 4.4 maf apportionment in those years when neither surplus water nor other states' unused apportionments were available. One of the fundamental assumptions made in the plan is that MWDSC's Colorado River Aqueduct will be kept full, by making water transfers from agricultural users in the Colorado River Hydrologic Region to urban water users in the South Coast Hydrologic Region.

Deleted: During 2002 and

Deleted: the California Colorado River water agencies, working through the Colorado River Board of California, have been

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: developing a proposal for discussion with the other basin states to illustrate

Deleted: ould

Deleted: to the

Deleted: A draft of the proposal, prepared by the Colorado River Board is entitled "California's Colorado River Water Use Plan" (Water Use Plan), has been shared with the other six basin states. The last official draft of the document was May 11, 2000. Efforts are currently underway to update the document.

Deleted: currently

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: the present and 2010

Deleted: or

Deleted: 5

Deleted: 2

The agricultural water purveyors in the region (IID, PVID, CVWD, and Bard Water District) will continue to implement Efficient Water Management Practices. Water districts in the Coachella Valley will continue with their efforts to provide technical assistance to the managers of large landscape areas to help improve the efficiencies of irrigation operations.

CVWD will continue to work with DWA to address the declining water levels in the Coachella Valley's largest groundwater basin, the Indio sub-basin. They are operating an active groundwater recharge program for the upper end of the Coachella Valley (generally, the urbanized part of the valley). CVWD recharges groundwater with imported Colorado River supplies and with Whitewater River flows using percolation ponds. CVWD and DWA levy extraction fees on larger groundwater users in the upper Coachella Valley.

Between 2003 and 2017, IID to implement fallowing to provide water for transfer to SDCWA and for mitigation to the Salton Sea. This will be followed by a gradual introduction of conservation measures starting in 2008 for transfer to CVWD. By 2018 there will be no fallowing (and no mitigation water to the Salton Sea). IID will continue to transfer conserved water to SDCWA, CVWD, and MWD through 2047, will possible extension to 2077. Conservation measures are expected to include a wide range of practices, the entirety of which will be implemented by 2025.

With support from the Quechan Indian Reservation, Bard Water District (BWD) is undertaking an \$8 million project for capital improvements on the Reservation Division of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation's Yuma Project. This improvement project is in large part funded by a \$4 million matching grant from the North American Development Bank. The Quechan Indian Reservation contributed \$2 million of the matching funds and \$2 million were raised by BWD customers. BWD is rehabilitating approximately 10 miles of earthen canals with concrete lining and pipeline in 2004 and an additional 10 miles are to be rehabilitated in 2005. BWD will also be replacing over 100 irrigation gates and structures. These improvements will greatly increase the effectiveness of its system by reducing water losses from seepage and evaporation.

Deleted: project is

Over the years, the Bureau of Reclamation and others have considered potential solutions to stabilize the Salton Sea's salinity and elevation. Most recently, the Salton Sea Authority has been performing appraisal level evaluations of some of the frequently suggested alternatives, such as large scale pump-in, pump-out pipelines to the Pacific Ocean. The Authority is investigating integrated strategies where a smaller, lower salinity lake with a stable water surface would be coupled with treatment/desalination of some brackish inflows. The treated water could then be sold or could be part of a water transfer that would help fund the project. I think this part is no longer on the table

Deleted: currently

Formatted: Highlight

The Colorado River Quantification Settlement Agreement (QSA), finalized in October 2003, outlines key elements for California to operate within its basic annual allotment of 4.4 maf from the Colorado River.

**Key elements of California's Colorado River Quantification Settlement Agreement**

The California Colorado River Quantification Settlement Agreement will have the following effects:

- Have California adopt specific, incremental steps to gradually reduce its use of Colorado River water over the next 14 years to its basic annual apportionment of 4.4 million acre feet.
- Provide Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming with certainty on use of the river, allowing them to take their full apportionments to meet future water needs.
- Permits the utilization of interim surplus water stored in Lake Mead.
- Transfer as much as 30 million acre-feet of water from farms to cities in Southern California over the 75-year term of the deal.
- Settle a lawsuit between the Imperial Irrigation District and the Interior Department, alleging that the District was wasting water.
- Launch an ambitious plan to reduce rising salinity in the Salton Sea, a massive, agricultural sump straddling Riverside and Imperial counties that is an important stopping point for migratory birds.
- Provide for \$163 million to offset the environmental impacts of the water transfer in the arid Imperial Valley and help fund the cost of restoring the Salton Sea.
- Fund a \$200 million project to line, with concrete, the earthen All-American Canal, which delivers Colorado River water to the Imperial Valley, with concrete. Water conserved by reducing seepage will be sold to San Diego.
- Quantify, for the first time, the total Colorado River apportionments among water districts within California.

From The Associated Press. "Key elements of Colorado River water deal." October 17, 2003.

Indicate that authors have included edits.

Deleted: 2

### Water Portfolios for Water Years 1998, 2000, and 2001

Above average rainfall occurred during Water Year 1998. For Water Years 2000 and 2001, rainfall totals were below average; 2000 could be considered a dry year. In Water Year 1998, rainfall totals averaged 176 percent above average for the NWS station in Blythe, 104 percent of average for the El Centro 2 SSW station and 108 for Palm Springs. Bulletin 160-08 1998 (wet), 2000(average), 2001 (dry) – note that categories for the rest of the state predominated & do not reflect conditions in this region.

Water Year 2000 was very dry. Rainfall totals measured by the Blythe station for the year were only 17 percent of average; for El Centro, 10 percent of normal; and for Palm Springs, 35 percent of normal. Conditions improved slightly for Water Year 2001. The Blythe station measured rainfall that was 120 percent of normal. For El Centro, it was 78 percent of normal and for Palm Springs, it was 74 percent.

Despite the climatological conditions, demands for water supplies by the region's urban and agricultural users and the environment did not exhibit any large fluctuations during the period. The total applied water demand for 1998 was 4,604 taf. For 2000, the demands increased slightly to 4,775 taf, and for 2001, it was 4,668 taf.

Minor reductions in the irrigated crop acres occurred from 1998 to 2000, followed by a slight increase for 2001. Totals for the region were 761,760 acres in 1998, 731,890 acres for 2000, and 739,830 for 2001. Noticeable declines were noted for the irrigated grains and other field crop categories. A steady increase was noted for the vegetables crops classified in the Other Truck category.

## Sources of Information

- Water Quality Control Plan, Regional Water Quality Control Board
- Watershed Management Initiative Chapter, Regional Water Quality Control Board
- 2002 California 305(b) Report on Water Quality, State Water Resources Control Board
- Bulletin 118 (Draft), California's Groundwater, Update 2003, Department of Water Resources
- Nonpoint Source Program Strategy and Implementation Plan, 1998-2013, State Water Resources Control Board, California Coastal Commission, January 2000
- Strategic Plan, State Water Resources Control Board, Regional Water Quality Control Boards, November 15, 2001
- Colorado River Board of California
- Western Regional Climate Center website.
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.
- Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- California Department of Health Services (DHS), "Drinking Water Standards".
- DHS. "Chemical Contaminants in Drinking Water". July 3, 2003.
- DHS. "Drinking Water Action Level, Chemicals with Recent Detections". June 12, 2003
- DHS. "Drinking Water Action Levels, Historic Action Levels and Action Levels for Contaminants Detected Infrequently". June 30, 2003.
- DHS. "Specific Contaminants of Current Interest: arsenic, chromium-6, manganese, methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA), perchlorate, 1, 2, 3-trichloropropane.
- DHS. "Perchlorate in California Drinking Water: Status of Regulations and Monitoring". July 2, 2003.
- DHS. "Chromium-6 in Drinking Water: Background Information". April 8, 2003.
- DHS. "Chromium-6 in Drinking Water: Regulation and Monitoring Update". July 16, 2003.
- California Environmental Protection Agency website. List of Drinking Water Contaminants and MCLs.
- California Environmental Protection Agency website. Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List.
- California Environmental Protection Agency website. Regulations and Guidance.
- U. S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Assessment Program.
- U. S. Geological Survey online publications.
- Coachella Valley Water District
- Imperial Irrigation District
- Palo Verde Irrigation District
- U. S. Bureau of Reclamation
- Salton Sea Authority
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Chemical & Engineering News, "Rocket-Fueled River<" August 18, 2003.
- Groundwater Resources Association of California. "Perchlorate and NDMA: Rocket Fuel Contaminants a Serious Challenge to Drinking Water Suppliers". News release. April 4, 2002.
- State Water Resources Control Board. "Perchlorate Contamination of California's Groundwater Supplies".
- Presentation by staff of staff of the State Water Resources Control Board and staff of the Department of Toxic Substances Control". 2003.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. Ground Water & Drinking Water website. "Perchlorate". January 23, 2003.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Perchlorate Environmental Contamination: Toxicological Review and Risk Characterization (External Review Draft)". 2002.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. "Toxicological Profile for Chromium". May 25, 2001.
- The Associated Press. "Key elements of Colorado River water deal." October 17, 2003.
- Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program website. <http://www.lcrmscp.org/>

Deleted: 2

**Figure 11-1**  
**Colorado River Region**

Table 11-1

Colorado River Region Water Balance Summary – TAF



**Table 11-6**  
**Water Portfolios for Water Years 1998, 2000 and 2001**

Table 11-7

Colorado River Region Water Use and Distribution of Dedicated Supplied

Figure 11-2  
Colorado River Region 1998 Flow Diagram

Figure 11-3  
Colorado River Region 2000 Flow Diagram

Deleted: 2

Figure 11-4  
Colorado River Region 2001 Flow Diagram